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During the last few years, major developments have impacted on the processes of soft ground treatment by grouting. These advances have been less spectacular in the fields of hydrofracture and compaction grouting, but quite fundamental as far as permeation and jet grouting are concerned. Major new trends in processes, methods, materials and control are outlined and illustrated later in the paper by reference to seven important case histories drawn from around the world, in a wide range of ground conditions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Within the scope of this review, ground treatment is synonymous with grouting: the injection of a foreign, fluid phase in order to improve in some way the ground's natural properties. Grouting in association with tunnelling works is conducted to improve the strength and/or reduce the permeability of the virgin ground, and may also be used to denoise and displace disturbed soil. Under this definition, backgrouting around tunnel linings is excluded from consideration, as is the alternative soil stabilization technique of soil freezing (Gallavresi, 1982).

Given the theme of the paper—a concentration on novel aspects and recent developments—the scope is limited to the grouting of soils. With the exception of the development of the MSP system for efficient curtain grouting in collapsing rock strata (RODIO, 1983), the author is aware of no fundamental new developments in rock grouting following the data presented at New Orleans Grouting Conference (1982), and the subsequent overview of Houlby (1985). Thus, recommended 'state of the art' type case histories would still include, for example, Restelli's (1978) paper on the acrylic grouting of microfractures to eliminate toxic gas infiltration (Figure), and Black et al's (1982) description of the sealing of the fissured limestones and weakly cemented sandstones for the sinking of two deep shafts in the Selby coal field.

In contrast, major developments are occurring in soil grouting, largely in response to the demands placed on and by tunnelling contractors involved in major schemes, under strenuous environmental and geological circumstances throughout the world. The extremely competitive nature of bidding on such projects adds further pressure to the evolutionary process.
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Most tunnelling engineers have some form of experience with grouting for ground treatment, as described for example by Bruce and Joyce (1983) and indeed the earlier applications were exclusively for levelling slabs and light buildings on shallow foundations (ASCE, 1977). Prior to the Bolton Hill Tunnel project, described below, compaction grouting had been used in Baltimore to correct settlement problems caused by Metro tunnel construction—but only after the tunnel had been completed and structural damage to the overlying buildings had occurred. However, the Bolton Hill project marked a fundamental change in that compaction grouting was conducted during the excavation of the tunnel, at locations just above the crown. In this way, major surface settlements were prevented from developing, at source. Although compaction grouting has naturally practical and technical limitations, its application is being popularized, mainly as the result of the well researched (and published) Bolton Hill contract. Thus it is the preferred solution in the new Los Angeles Metro, has been used recently in Caracas, and is currently under consideration for certain situations in London. For such reasons, it is regarded as an innovation in the construction industry, and is detailed in the Case History section below. The interested reader is referred to the definitive paper by Warner (1982), in addition to those publications cited above.

(iii) In certain ways, the techniques involved in permeation grouting are the oldest and best researched. The aim is to introduce grout into soil pores without any essential change in the original soil volume and structure. The properties of the soil, and particularly the geometry of the pores, is clearly a major determinant of the method of grouting and the materials which may be used (Figure 21). This paper details several new developments in procedures, monitoring and materials as related to injection exclusively by the tube-in-tube system already well known throughout the industry.

(iv) Replacement grouting is the youngest major category of ground treatment. According to Miki and Nakamura (1984) and Miki (1984), the basic concept was propounded in Japan in 1965, but it is generally agreed that it is only within the last 10 years that the various derivatives have approached their full economic and operational potential (Figure 3 and Table J). Its development was fostered by the need to treat thoroughly soils from gravel to clays in areas where major environmental controls were strongly exercised over the use of chemical (permeation) grouts and allowable ground movements. In one particular form (Figure 4), the ground around the drill string is fragmented by a very high pressure (up to 50 MPa) horizontally directed water and air jet, and partially expelled from the hole. The cavitated zone so formed is simultaneously filled from below with a cement-based grout, which does, of course, incorporate some of the native ground. A simpler variant eliminates the air/water cavitation and instead uses only the high-pressure grout jet to cavitate and eject, as well as inject. Popularly, the technique is called jet grouting, while the grouted product in situ is appropriately referred to as solute by the O&M group of companies, which introduced the technique into the United Kingdom in 1982.

Virtually all ground treatment conducted in Japan (over 150,000 m³ of treated ground in 1983; Miki 1986) and Germany features some type of jet grouting whereas its use by Italian and French contractors is increasing fast, supplementing or replacing other forms. Successful case histories are also reported in Brazil, Taiwan, Korea and Singapore. For various reasons, development is at an earlier stage in the UK and the USA, although recent applications in dam sealing in both countries augur well

2. INNOVATIVE TRENDS IN SOIL GROUTING

2.1 BASIC FOR SELECTION - Overviews of ground treatment conventionally identify four basic categories of grouting (Figure 3).

(i) Hydrofracture
(ii) Compaction
(iii) Permeation
(iv) Replacement

(i) In hydrofracture grouting, the ground is deliberately split by injecting stable but fluid cement-based grouts at high pressures (say up to 40 MPa). The lenses and sheets of grout so formed increase total stress, fill interconnected voids, and coalesce them. Conceptually constitute impermeable barriers, mainly horizontal. However, it is typically difficult to control, and the potential danger of damaging adjacent structures by the use of high pressures often proves prohibitive. It is not common to find this technique alone deliberately exploited outside the French grouting industry, although as described below, some hydrofracture phenomena accompany most permeation grouting contracts either accidentally or in conjunction.

(ii) Compaction grouting is a specialized, 'uniquely American', process (Baker et al. 1983) that has been used since the early 1950s. Very stiff soil-cement mortar is injected at high pressures (1035 MPa) at discrete locations to compress and densify soils, loose or disturbed soil. Unlike the case of hydrofracture grouting, the grout forms a very dense and coherent embankment which does not extend far from the point of injection. Near surface injections result in lifting of the ground surface—the technique of slab jacking as described for example by Bruce and Joyce (1983).
2.25 Grouting Materials - In order to appreciate more fully the significance of the new developments, it is necessary to first consider the broad categories of grouts used in permeation. Mongiardi and Tornaghi (1986) observed the following classification on the basis of rheological performance—in order of increased penetrability (and cost):
(a) particulate suspensions (Binghamian fluids)
(b) colloidal solutions (evolutionary Newtonian fluids)
(c) pure solutions (non-evolutionary Newtonian fluids)

(a) Suspensions of solids in water are termed unstable when water loss by bleeding is significant. This is the case of pure cement grout used at high w/c ratios in fissured rocks, since the water acts largely as a vehicle for cement grains. A suspension is termed stable when bleeding is negligible, as required in general for the treatment of granular soils (with cement-clay and cement-bentonite mixtures). Stabilized thixotropic grouts have both cohesion (yield value) and plastic viscosity increasing with time at a rate which may be considerably accelerated by drainage under pressure (Figure 6a) i.e., "pressure filtration."

For the immediate future.

Case histories from current contracts are described below. Further general data are provided in 1985 papers by Coomber, and Tornaghi and Cippo, amongst the rapidly growing technical literature.

2.2 Innovations in Permeation Grouting by Tube

2.2.1 Equipment - Each of the numerous companies executing such work has its own specialties in terms of installing the tubes and executing the grouting. However, the following trends are evident on a worldwide basis:
- use of long mast "one stroke" drilling rigs, permitting fast installation programmes and usually operating with bentonite flush or a self hardening drilling mud, further reducing operating costs.
- use of more flexible grouting tubes, delivered in one piece to site, to ease installation, especially from within restricted tunnel access conditions, and reduce risk of malfunction due to leakage at joints. Alternatively,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Name</th>
<th>Principle of Operation</th>
<th>Jetting Pressure (MPa)</th>
<th>Jetting Nozzle Dia (mm)</th>
<th>Revolving Rate (rpm)</th>
<th>Anticipated Column Dia (cm)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JG: Jet Grount</td>
<td>Upper water and lower grout jet</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td>Panels only - soon obsolete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCP: Chemical Churning Pile</td>
<td>Single grout jet</td>
<td>20 to 40</td>
<td>1.2-3.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30-60</td>
<td>1. Chemicals now replaced by cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Equivalent to RODIO's RODINJET 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JSG: Jumbo Special Grount</td>
<td>Single jet of grout enveloped in air</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3-3.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>80-200</td>
<td>Originally called JSP (Jumbo Special Pile) but name changed for patent reasons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CJC: Column Jet Grount</td>
<td>Upper water and air jet and lower grout jet</td>
<td>40-50</td>
<td>1.8-3.0 (upper) 3.0-5.0 (lower)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>150-300</td>
<td>1. Referred to as &quot;half Replacement&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Equivalent to RODIO's RODINJET 3, or KAJIMA/GEN KELLER system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM: Mini Max</td>
<td>Like CCP but uses special &quot;chemical cement&quot;</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80-160</td>
<td>Specially for very weak soil and organics (e.g. soil peaty clays under water)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JJM: Jumbo Mini Max</td>
<td>As for MM except for addition of 20-40cm wing jet</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100-200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSMAN: Super Soil Stabilisation Management</td>
<td>Air water jet used to excavate volume completely underwaver. This is then surveyed ultrasonically. If OK, thenREAM full of desired material. (See Figure 5)</td>
<td>20-60</td>
<td>2-2.8</td>
<td>3-7</td>
<td>200-400</td>
<td>1. To provide absolute control over shape and composition of column. 2. Effective to over 70m depth 3. &quot;Complete replacement&quot; 4. Most expensive technique, but ensures desired performance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1 - Major Categories of Jet Grouting Variants (After Miki, 1984)**

**Fig. 5 - Operational Steps of New SSS-MAN (Super Soil Stabilisation Management) Application of Jet Grouting (Miki & Nakanishi, 1984).**
Fig. 6 - Rheological Behaviour of Basic Grout Types (Mongiardi and Tornaghi, 1986)

Though the addition of colloidal products can minimize bleeding, filtration must be always considered as an important design factor with respect to penetrability and final effects of water loss on mechanical properties and volumetric yield. The poor permeation of suspensions in granular soil with a permeability lower than 10^-3 m/s involves the additional or alternative use of chemical solutions in order to minimize hydrofracturing effects.

The chemical solutions exhibit quite different rheological features. The following properties are related to the viscosity/time relationship at a given temperature, without any other factors influencing penetrability. The chemical grouts can be grouped in two rheological sub-classes: colloidal "evolution" and pure "non-evolution" solutions.

(b) For the best known colloidal solutions consist of diluted sodium silicate with inorganic or organic reagents producing relatively soft to hard silica gels. The term "evolution" means that viscosity increases before setting (Figure 6b, upper curve) at a rate mainly depending on concentration.

(c) The more expensive pure solutions, based on acrylic, phenolic or amino resins, are non-evolution Newtonian fluids since viscosity may be kept constant until setting within an appropriate period of time (Figure 6b, lower curve). This outstanding property, associated to a very low viscosity, allows the impregnation of the finest granular soils within the practical and economical limits imposed by the rate of flow and pressure (silty fine sands with a virgin permeability not lower than 10^-4 m/s).

Regarding the particulate suspensions, main obstacles to penetrability are related to (i) the maximum particle size of the solid components in the grout, v.v., the pore sizes in the soil, and (ii) the rate of pressure filtration which may induce rapid clogging even under low pressures.

The first problem is being tackled by generally introducing finer cements, for example, MC500 (Karon 1985) in which the average particle size is claimed to be 4 microns, and by minimizing grain agglomeration or flocculation by the improvement of mixing plants and the addition of dispersing agents. In contrast, the filtration problem has represented the main obstacle in the past, since in conventional "stable" grouts a reduction of water loss rate can be obtained only at the cost of increasing viscosity, by an additional content of active colloidal particles, such as bentonite.

However, this problem has very recently been resolved by RODIO, which has developed an entirely new class of cement-bentonite grouts exhibiting extremely important and advantageous properties:

- Very low filtration rates considering a relative scale with bentonite mud being 1, then these new grouts are 25, compared with 10 for conventional cement-bentonite grouts, and 20-30 for cement grouts
- No bleeding
- Low values of yield point and plastic cohesion over an adjustable period time
- Higher long-term strength and lower permeability in comparison with conventional grouts having similar contents of cement and bentonite.

The practical advantages of this new class of grouts to the tunnelling engineer can be summarized as follows:

- Improved penetrability under a lower pressure in sandy-gravelly soils
- A lower water loss, and therefore, a greater volume of voids filled with the same volume of grout
- The possibility to fill all the voids consistent with the size of individual cement particles, and therefore, to treat medium-coarse sands with refined products, minimizing hydrofracturing effects.

Chemical grouts based on sodium silicate solutions and inorganic reagents (e.g., sodium aluminate, sodium bicarbonate) have long been used to provide soft gels for waterproofing sands. In order to increase strength, silicate concentrations must be raised, but this ensures almost instantaneous setting. Thus, early higher strength requirements (say up to 0.5-10 MPa) could only be satisfied by a two shot system such as the Joosten process. Most recently the Japanese have developed specialized drill and grout systems such as AG (Tokoro et al 1982) and DDS (Bruce 1984) which can handle the problems of injecting flash setting grouts of this type, but these systems are only practical in the softer unconsolidated deposits.

The use of organic reagents (e.g., Khone Poulenc 600) capable of matching these higher strength targets but still offering long gel times has grown over the last 20 years. However, in certain areas including Japan and Germany, such organically based reagents are not environmentally acceptable. In addition, creep effects may be a significant problem for silicate gel stabilized soils if the design involves a high and permanent load (Tan and Clough 1980), while questions of durability under certain conditions may be valid. (The author, however, supports the view of Mongiardi and Tornaghi (1986) that there are certainly "overconservative prejudices against organic materials in some important urban areas and below the water table.")

In light of these problems, potential and real, the newest developments have led to the evolution of a new type of chemical grout, composed of (a) a silica liquor, and (b) an inorganic reagent. As opposed to commercial alkaline sodium silicates, which are aqueous solutions of colloidal silica particles dispersed in soda, the liquor is a true solution of activated silica. The activated dissolved silica when mixed with the reagent produces calcium hydrosilicates with a crystalline structure quite similar to that obtained by the hydration and setting of cement. The resulting product is a complex of permanently stable crystals. Hence the reaction is no more an evolutionary "gelation" as in the case of silica gels, involving the formation of macromolecular aggregates and possible loss of silicized water (syneresis). On the contrary, it is a direct reaction on a molecular scale.

This type of mix (Silagol), recently developed in France and successfully used by RODIO in Italy, presents the same good sealing range as common silica gels: medium to fine sands can be effectively treated. Even if larger voids or fissures are accidentally created by hydrofracturing, a permanent filling is assured without
any synergistic effect. In fact, the activated silica mix has the stability of a cement grout owing to the nature of the resulting products (insoluble crystals of calcium silicate) and to the absence of aggressive by-products, thus providing full safety against pollution.

2.2.3 Instrumentation - Throughout the grouting industry there is an increasing employment of computer-aided devices as monitors and controls over grouting operations in the field. This is reflected in several of the papers presented at the "Issues in Dam Grouting," session of the ASCE Convention, Denver 1985. The most effective of these, as far as injections are concerned, will be similar to the ROBIO PAGURO system of remote centralized monitoring. This monitor numerically and graphically the full injection characteristics of each pump (the setting of which is incidentally still under manual control) in real time. It thereafter gives a hard copy summary of each point injected (including volume, maximum and average pressures and flow rates and time). Such data then provide the basis for technical review of the grouting conducted (e.g., grout take analyses) and quantities of work executed, for payment purposes. Clearly, the investment in such sophistication is economically justifiable only in projects of appreciable scale and or complexity (e.g., Milano Metro, Cairo Wastewater Tunnels).

Most recently, however, a major breakthrough has been made in Italy in the exploitation of instrumentation for soil investigation and grout parameter design. The sensors of the PAPERO system continuously record the penetration rate, rotational speed, thrust, torque and flush pressure encountered in drilling a certain exploratory hole. These are combined to give a single unified factor - specific energy. Thereafter, the computer relates this factor to ground type, and prints out a geological log, with boundaries at 10 cm intervals (Figure 2). This geological log, conducted in advance of grouting and tunnelling, permits optimization of the subsequent drilling and grouting parameters as well as giving invaluable information to the tunnelling contractor in that potentially dangerous conditions (i.e., sand and silt) can be closely predicted. The accuracy of this geological log has proved exceptional in the conditions of the Milano Metro -- mixed gravels, sands and silts to over 25 m depth -- and groups of three investigatory holes have been routinely drilled at about 5 m intervals along much of its length.

The key to the accuracy is obviously the ability of the computer to relate specific energy with ground type. This has been developed by conducting statistical analyses of specific energies recorded at discrete depth intervals, in correlation with visual observations (from core samples) of the ground type. In this way, the influence of depth on in situ ground properties, and other factors such as the hydrological regimes and borehole inclination are accommodated -- which is not the case in other, less successful systems of drilling parameter analyses.

2.3 INNOVATIONS IN JET GROUTING

In contrast to the sensitivity and sophistication of some aspects of conventional grouting, the principle of jet grouting stands as a straightforward and positive solution, using only safe cement-based grouts across the whole range of soil types. This opinion is enhanced by the very dramatic photographic evidence from excavated test sections (Figure 8). However, it must be emphasized that any system which may involve the simultaneous injection of up to three fluids at operating pressures of up to 60 MPa must be handled with extreme care and only in appropriate applications and ground conditions. Many readers will already be aware of unhappy experiences in this country (due to inefficient mix and inject procedures and inappropriate application of the technique), and in Singapore (where excessive ground heaves severely upset surface structures and disrupted subsurface services). The credentials, resources and methods of the specialist contractor must therefore be reviewed with special care.
The RODIO group of companies currently have two main types of jet grouting options: RodinJet (similar to the mix in place CCP system, based on grout jetting only) and RodinJet 3 (similar to CJS system employing air and water jetting, and grout replacement). Almost 300 km of jet grouted columns have been installed in the last 6 years in various countries in Europe (including USSR and the Far East). Most of the applications have been for tunnel and shaft excavations (Figures 9 and 10), while much of the work has been executed horizontally by purpose built drilling rigs (Figure 11), to form ‘umbrellas’ for subsequent phased excavation (Figure 12). In conjunction with base grouting to counteract boiling, piping or heave the weight of the grouted plug (and ungrouted zone above to formation level) alone is inadequate to resist hydrostatic uplift forces (Coomber 1985). The majority of the resistance is developed by peripheral contact stresses arising from (a) friction generated by active earth pressures on the side walls and/or (b) adhesion developed between the soilcrete
and the walls. For this to be valid, the plug must be considered as a monolithic slab. Its thickness can then be checked in relation to resistance to lateral compression and bending.

Miki and Nakanishi (1984) reported on data recorded from full-scale tests conducted over many years. For example, the relation between method, soil type and resulting column diameters has been quantified (Figure 13) for particular operating parameters. The influence of these factors, which include fluid flow rates and pressures, nozzle sizes and shapes, monitor rpm and rate of withdrawal, and volumes and compositions has also been investigated in Japan and Europe. Coomber (1985) states that water jet pressure and monitor withdrawal rate are the most significant of these parameters (Figure 14).

Grout mix constituents and composition can be varied to meet the specific requirements and for example, pfa is a common addition in Britain. Mix viscosity should be fairly low to promote uniform treatment to the greatest extent, and water cement ratios (by weight) are rarely less than 0.5. In permeable granular materials, much of the injection water may be expected to be drained out both from soil and grout, whereas in a cohesive soil of low permeability, poor or no drainage is likely. This is a principal reason why the strength of the grouted column (depending primarily on the final w/c ratio) is much lower for clay than for sand and gravel, all other factors being equal. (Figure 15)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT LOCATION</th>
<th>NATURE/SIGNIFICANCE</th>
<th>APPLICATION</th>
<th>MAJOR SOIL TYPE</th>
<th>MAJOR REFERENCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cut &amp; Cover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Cairo Metro,</td>
<td>Permeation grouting</td>
<td>Base slab</td>
<td>Fine-medium</td>
<td>Abdel Salim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>with soft silice</td>
<td>between</td>
<td>alluvial</td>
<td>(1986)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gel &amp; hydrofracture</td>
<td>twin diaphragm</td>
<td>cohesionless</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>grouting</td>
<td>walls.</td>
<td>sands.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Terre Annulati,</td>
<td>Jet grouting</td>
<td>Pile sealing</td>
<td>Fine, soft sands</td>
<td>Unpublished</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Napoli, Italy</td>
<td>for vertical &amp;</td>
<td>&amp; base slab</td>
<td>&amp; silts of</td>
<td>(resilient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>horizontal sealing.</td>
<td>for new sewer.</td>
<td>volcanic origin.</td>
<td>project)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underground Excavation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore, USA</td>
<td>grouting.</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>&amp; gravels &amp;</td>
<td>(1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>settlements</td>
<td>silts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Hong Kong</td>
<td>Permeation grouting</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>Completely</td>
<td>Bruce &amp;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTR Tunnels</td>
<td>with higher strength</td>
<td>applications</td>
<td>decomposed</td>
<td>Shirley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>silice gel.</td>
<td>to enable</td>
<td>granites.</td>
<td>(1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tunnelling,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>underpin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>buildings &amp;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>reduce</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>settlements.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Cairo Wastewater</td>
<td>Permeation grouting</td>
<td>Grouting round</td>
<td>Fine-medium alluvial</td>
<td>Greenwood et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunnels, Egypt</td>
<td>(mentermediate-high</td>
<td>tunnels &amp; shafts</td>
<td>cohesionless</td>
<td>(1987)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>strength silice</td>
<td>for strength</td>
<td>sands.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gel with very stiff</td>
<td>&amp; water tightness.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>quality controls.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Singapore MRT</td>
<td>Jet grouting with</td>
<td>Treatment of</td>
<td>Soft marine</td>
<td>Tornaghi &amp;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunnels</td>
<td>trial block.</td>
<td>soft deposit</td>
<td>clay.</td>
<td>Clippo (1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to safeguard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>tunnelling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>under water</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>table.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Milano Metro</td>
<td>Jet grouting &amp;</td>
<td>Many</td>
<td>Alluvial,</td>
<td>Tornaghi &amp;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunnels</td>
<td>permeation grouting</td>
<td>applications:</td>
<td>gravels, sand,</td>
<td>Rongiardi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>with high strength</td>
<td>as for project</td>
<td>&amp; silts.</td>
<td>(1985)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>silice gel &amp;</td>
<td>above.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>special cement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>grouts.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 - Introductory Details of Case Histories Described in the Text

Although there clearly exists a wealth of experimental data in conditions worldwide, it should remain an integral part of every jet grouting programme to have a field trial, prior to the commencement of the production works, in order to verify and optimise operating parameters. Such trials should include visual inspection of the grouting, wherever practical, by excavation.

These remarks on verification and testing apply equally to projects executed with other forms of ground treatment. Experience, often bitter, has underlined the value of such testing, especially when set against the scale of the disruptions which may result "down the line" due to inefficient or inappropriate treatment procedures, however well intended at planning stage.

3. ILLUSTRATIVE CASE HISTORIES

Seven case histories have been selected on grounds of technical significance, application and scale. They represent "state of the art" solutions in soils from gravels to clays, as related to tunnelling activities. Only projects which have been executed or described within the last four years have been considered (Table 2).

3.1 TUNNELLING BY CUT AND COVER

3.1.1 Cairo Metro, Egypt - Cut and cover methods were adopted to allow the construction of the new north-south underground line through the busiest parts of Cairo. This section is 4.5 km long and includes five underground stations, as well as numerous ancillary structures.

The basic method is illustrated in Figure 16, the bottom seal to the twin diaphragm walls being provided by injecting cement bentonite, and silice gel, grouts from the surface via the tube a manchet system.

The grouting was conducted in Nile valley medium sands--varying vertically and laterally into fine/silty sand. The design of the grouting was based on the following parameters:

- Grain size distribution
  - $d_{50} = 0.2 - 1.0$ mm
  - Porosity: 35% to 45%
  - Initial permeability: 10^-3 to 10^-4 m/s

The groundwater was highly saline: sulphate up to 3684 mg/litre, carbonate up to 2077 mg/litre, and chloride up to 2000 mg/litre.

Following initial trials and field observations, which optimized the construction and grouting methodologies, the following sequence was followed:

A split spacing grouting system (Figure 17) was used in three phases:
1. **Cement-bentonite grout** in alternate holes with total grout volume equivalent to 5%-7% ground volume at pressures less than 1.5 MPa (230 kg cement and 50 kg bentonite per cubic meter of mix). The purpose of this phase was to seal major voids or potential seepage paths and act as a "skeleton" for the later chemical injections.

2. **Chemical grout** first in the holes not previously grouted, then in the others (i.e., Phase I holes). Target volumes of 3.5 to 4.0% ground volume were set with a pressure target of 2 MPa. A mix of sodium silicate and water (weight ratio 1:6) was reacted with a sodium bicarbonate reagent (12.1-14.1% by weight of silicate) to give a relatively low strength, but penetrative and economic grout with a gel time of about 40 minutes and an initial viscosity of 500 cP.

3. **Cement-bentonite grout** in holes not previously injected in Phase I. Further volumes equivalent to 6%-8% were injected at up to 3.5 MPa. This cement grouting was intended for grouting, as a final sealing, bearing in mind that most fractures run horizontally. A final "control" phase (3bis) was conducted to tighten up suspect areas.

Upon satisfactory checking (by pump tests and piezometers) that the target average permeability of 10⁻⁶ m/sec had been achieved, the tubes were backfilled with cement grout then left to set. Thereafter, each tube was severed at a level 1 m below excavation level with a special "in hole" cutter so that subsequent excavation would not "pull" an old tube and so cause a direct pipe to the surface.

Excavation was thereafter conducted in short (15-20 m) lengths as fast as possible to avoid the risk of major blockings occurring due to time-related failure of the grouted zone. Blinding concrete was also promptly applied to further seal the base and give additional self weight and structural strength to the plug.

3.1.2 Torre Annunziata, Napoli, Italy - A new major sewer line is being laid through an intensively urbanized area of the town of Torre Annunziata, to the south of Naples, Italy. The scheme involves a 900 m long section where a reinforced concrete collector is approximately 4.5 m square is to be cast. The surface cover over this structure varies from 1.5 to 10.0 m.

The subsoil conditions are mainly soft silty deposits of volcanic origin, with 1-2 m of variable fill and rubble above (containing a mass of domestic service pipes). Groundwater level is at about 5 m. The route of the sewer follows an extremely busy street, with domestic and commercial buildings of several stories on each side. Clearly, minimal settlements could therefore be tolerated outside the construction boundaries, while the scale and time of environmental upheaval also had to be minimized. In addition, the very restrictive access conditions precluded the consideration of certain techniques otherwise offering potential solutions (e.g., diaphragm walls).

A solution was developed which addressed each of these environmental and technical problems while still being financially attractive:

- where the cover to the crown was to be less than 4 m, the excavation was created in cut and cover. The excavation support was provided by reinforced bored piles, the lateral continuity between each being guaranteed by jet grouting. Resistance to base heave or leakage was provided by a "floor" of jet grouting (Figure 3).
- where the cover was greater, hand tunnelling was feasible assuming the same pile and grouting scheme as before, but supplemented by a jet grouted roof to ensure the support of the overlying roadway.
The 218Nr 800 mm diameter piles, totalling over 24,000 lineal metres were augered to depth and were reinforced with steel H-sections. They were spaced at a maximum of 1.2 m centres in one row each side of the excavation. The ground between the piles was treated by the Rodinjet 1 system, giving "mix in place" columns of 600 mm nominal diameter. Grout of w/c-1 was jetted at a pressure of 40 MPa. The withdrawal of the monitor during grouting was accomplished by an electro-hydraulic control on the drill rig which automatically lifted the monitor at preset distance at preset time intervals. In this way the injection parameters were precisely controlled to ensure uniform, effective treatment and no surface displacement.

The grouted base (and where necessary, roof) of the excavation was achieved by the Rodinjet 3 method. Over 3500 m of columns, 1.5 m in diameter, were involved using jetting pressures up to 50 MPa for water and 0.8 MPa for air. The subsequent cement grouting pressures were around 10 MPa.

Section by section excavation and casing sequences were followed by the main contractor to allow both interim verification of the grouting effectiveness, and as a further aid towards minimizing the environmental upheaval.

3.2 TUNNELLING BY INTER-GROUND EXCAVATION

3.2.1 Bolton Hill Tunnel Baltimore USA - Bolton Hill Tunnel, part of Northwest Line of the Baltimore Region RIS, was built between 1977 and 1980. As noted above, compaction grouting had been already used for a quarter of a century for problems unrelated to tunnelling, or used to uplift structures well after tunnelling had completed and settlement became apparent. This case history is claimed to be the first application of compaction grouting for controlling ground movements during tunnelling. The authors also claimed the project illustrated the improvements in the prediction and control of ground movements that are being achieved through cooperative efforts within the tunnelling industry. Very significantly, they confirmed that these advantages had been made in the climate created by revoking the philosophy of ignoring the ground movement problem — where a utility can accept no movement, specifications allow no movement, the contractor causes no movement, and the engineer measures no movement.

The tunnel has almost 3500 m of 5.9 m diameter steel lined tunnel 12-23 m below the ground surface in very dense sand and gravel with occasional silt and clay lenses, overlying very dense residual soils. Compaction grouting was specified for the first time, as the procedure for uplifting 39 relatively highly loaded structures (2-5 stories high on brick bearing walls) if subjected to settlements over 6 mm. In addition, special tunnelling procedures were specified to limit loss of ground in the tunnel (e.g., compressed air, careful shield configuration, and back grouting) estimated at around 1.9 ft 3/ft (0.016 m 3/m) of tunnel (due to the 10 mm overcutter bar at the leading edge of the shield, and 6.3 ft 3/ft (0.054 m 3/m) in the 32 mm wide annular gap left by the steel ungrounted shield tailskin.

It was estimated that the surface settlement trough volume (Vs) would be 13.5 ft 3/ft (0.011-0.042 m 3/m) i.e., about half the volume loss around the tunnel. The corresponding maximum surface settlement would be 12-50 mm for a trough whose width in cross section is defined by lines extending upward and outward from the tunnel springline at an angle of 30° from the vertical.

In contrast to typical practice (i.e., underpin individual footings) to that time, the injection was made from tubes placed just above the tunnel crown for the following reasons:
- This method attacked the source of the problem (holes usually terminated 1.5-4.5 m above crown)
- Grout tubes could be installed from open air (roadway) locations, as opposed to from restricted access basements
* Grouting could be controlled more easily through successive holes, just over or behind the shield as it advanced as opposed to attempting simultaneous uplifts from many points.
* Grouting at depth, the influence of a single bulb was spread, thus avoiding local differential uplifts developing.

In order to finalize the details of the system, a Federally funded test section was first conducted. The compaction grouting programme was carried out by grouting through vertical or inclined 76 mm diameter grout pipes placed from the street surface at 15 m centres over each tunnel. Grouting was conducted at 8.5 to 9.8 m depth at pressures of 2.5-4.3 Mpa, as measured at the top of the grout pipe, and until extraction of the grout pipe by a metre did not result in lower pressures or increase the rate of grout take. Grouting operations were begun at each grout pipe after the tail of the shield had passed below the end of the pipe. Grout was injected usually in one hole at a time, in sequence.

The cement fine sand mix had a slump of 2-3 cm and mixed such that it could be pumped at up to 4 Mpa without sand blockages. Injected volumes ranged from 0.6 to 3.0 m³/hole averaging 12 ft³ per foot of tunnel (0.13 m³/m) for the first, and 6 ft³ per foot of tunnel (0.05 m³/m) for the second. (Grout takes per hole were reduced and hole spacings increased to 3 m in later production work.) Surface settlements were negligible (less than 3 mm) and the volume of the surface settlement trough (for each tunnel) was negligible (less than 0.5 ft³/ft. of tunnel (0.004 m³/m)). Thus the surface settlements had been virtually eliminated by using volumes of grout equivalent to 1-1.5 times volume loss around the tunnel. Detailed examination of surface settlement patterns with time showed that the grout bulb influences a cone-shaped zone above itself, extending upwards at an angle of about 30°. Thus grout bulbs 3-4.5 m beyond the settlement cross section can reduce the shallow settlement at the cross section.

Figure 19 illustrates clearly the effectiveness of the grout in reducing settlements above the bulb almost zero, whereas below the bulb large settlements were recorded (and actually increased by up to 25 mm by downward pressure of the bulb).

Regarding the mechanisms of the bulb:
- 55% of the volume densified soils within 15 m radius
- 30% of the volume caused downward compression of loosened soil over the crown, below the 1.5 m influence zone
- 5% of the volume gave horizontal movement, towards the loosened soils over the shield tail
- 10% of the volume gave heave in the soils to 6-9 m above the crown.

Regarding the exact timing of the grouting operation, the authors recommended that compaction grout be pumped just after the tail of the shield passes the grout pipe location (1.5-2.0 m beyond). Grouting immediately over the shield tends to deflect the skin of the shield or produce pressures on the shield that make it difficult to advance it.

The timing and frequency of grout placement should be decided after considering the location and magnitude of the potential ground losses over the shield and the degree of control required at the ground surface. The larger the ground loss over the shield, the smaller the differential movement required at the surface, the greater should be the frequency and volume of grouting and the less should be the lag time in injecting the grout.

In summary, they proposed the model of Figure 20 wherein:

![Diagram](image-url)

**Figure 20 - Summary of the Effect of the Compaction Grout Bulb on Ground Movements Over the Tunnel (Baker et al., 1983).**

**Step 1** - Volume loss of 2-3 ft³/ft. (0.016 to 0.025 m³/m) over shield (overcut void) plus 3-5 ft³/ft. (0.025-0.042 m³/m) at tail (tailskin void), gives total surface trough volume of 2 ft³/ft. (0.016 m³/m) without grouting.

**Step 2** - Inject 6-12 ft³/ft. of grout over tunnel (0.05-0.10 m³/m).

**Step 3** - Soil in immediate vicinity is redensified, soil ahead is displaced forward, soil below is recompressed, almost immediately replacing the 3-5 ft³ loss (0.08-0.14 m³) above the tail.

**Step 4** - Increased pressures densify soils to above original value, shear deformations above bulb occur heaving soil up to 9 m above crown. Zone is conical, extending 30°-45° from vertical.

**Step 5** - Earlier surface settlements are reduced by heave from the bulb.
3.2.2 Hong Kong MTR Tunnels - Cut and cover methods were widely adopted during the construction of the first two lines of the Hong Kong Mass Transit Railway between 1976 and 1981. The third artery—the Island Line—was constructed between 1981 and 1986. Its route largely coincides with the densely populated fringe of the north foreshore of Hong Kong Island itself, and so the 10km of new subsurface track was created in 6m diameter bored tunnels 23-35m below ground surface. Throughout the MTR network, chemical grouting by the tube and manchette system has been a common feature of the construction, since its introduction during the construction of the trial tunnels in 1973 (MFeast-Smith et al., 1985). Ground treatment for strength and/or water tightness has thus been conducted in a variety of applications. These include lengths of ground adjacent to access shafts where tunnel drives were to commence in free air, prior to the application of compressed air. Ground treatment has been used to reduce compressed air loses or eliminate its use altogether, in conjunction with both NATM and shield tunnelling. Similarly, at junctions to stations where diaphragm walls have been constructed, the method has been successful in preventing water ingress during junctioning. On the Island Line (Figure 21), ground treatment has been used extensively to minimize differential settlements to nearby multi-story buildings.

Much work has been conducted in medium coarse alluvial materials wherein methods and results have followed standard procedures, as outlined above. However, most of the treatment has been executed in the completely weathered granite whose geotechnical parameters would, by conventional standards, argue against the prospect of successful treatment by permeation techniques:
- initial permeability of $10^{-3}$ m/s and less
- fines content over 40% (average 30%)

This success—MFeast-Smith et al., 1985—refer to "virtually impermeable" results—has been achieved through the following principal methods:
- high intensity of treatment—interhole spacings of 1-15m (av. 12m) were observed. In addition, most of the holes for tunnel grouting were inclined from the surface (for access reasons) and it is felt that there was an insitu reinforcing effect contributed by the rigid plastic or steel grouting pipes.
- routine use of stable cement grouts to repair damage to the ground caused during drilling, permeate any coarse zones, and fill any major relict fissures in the decomposed mass. (Typical grout volumes: 6-10% of ground)
- chemical injection of large volumes (equivalent to over 30% of ground) of low viscosity (5cP) relatively high strength (0.3Mpa in grouted sand) silicate gels with gel times up to 90 minutes to promote flow. In addition, the inherent arching strength of the decomposed granites permitted relatively high pressures to be exercised (over twice overburden) without risk of surface heave.

With respect to the performance of the grouting for curtains, Morton and Leonard (1980) reported on reductions of between 300-1200 times for a 3-row curtain, over 40m deep. These measurements were calculated from water inflows into shafts, and not from borehole permeability tests, as it was reasoned that at such low permeabilities (of the order of $10^{-7}$ m/s) the borehole method was not sufficiently precise.

The uniformity of treatment was reported by Bruce and Shirlaw (1985) and Table 3 summarizes the results from one particular site. It is highly significant that 32 of the 43 grouted specimens had at least 20% fines, whereas none that did not react had less than 20%. Despite an average fines content of 3%, 76% of the samples showed positive reaction; i.e., had been thoroughly permeated with chemicals. This excellent performance—is mirrored on other sites in the Territory—was achieved by the intensity and the thoroughness of the grouting, as detailed above. In addition, however, it did highlight a major anomaly in the way in which groutability, based on grain size analysis, is judged. In completely
TABLE 3 - Summary of Results Obtained on 56 Samples of Treated CWG
(Bruce and Shirlow, 1985)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fines in Sample</th>
<th>0-10</th>
<th>10-20</th>
<th>20-30</th>
<th>30-40</th>
<th>40-50</th>
<th>50-60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reaction to test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for presence of chemical grout</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>(total, 43)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>(total, 13)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes two samples in region not regauged following initial low pressure attempt.

The vast amount of ground treatment in Hong Kong has contributed much toward the current state of refinement of the materials and methods of tube a manchette grouting. Equally, however, it highlighted that the traditional reliance placed by grouting engineers on grading curve analyses alone must be tempered by supplementary examinations of soil genesis as related to particle size distribution insitu.

3.2.3 Cairo Wastewater Tunnels, Egypt - Contract 3 of the Cairo Wastewater Project involves the construction of 16 circular shafts over a 3,800 m length of bored tunnels. The general stratigraphy of this part of N.E. Cairo is described by Hoe and Kay (1985):
- Fill (rubble in clayey matrix)
- Silt clay and clayey silt
- Dense interbedded clays, silts and sands
- Dense to very dense sands and gravels.

The 5 m diameter sewer tunnels are being constructed using bentonite face support within a shield. Short lengths of smaller side tunnels are constructed by hand excavation in shields with faces supported by compressed air. Where tunnelling shields enter and exit from shafts there is the greatest risk of soil instability as the shaft wall is penetrated while tunnelling equipment is being erected or removed. Chemical grouting of the sands was specified to lend protective stability to these zones at 16 shafts.

Treatments were thus nominally cylindrical, concentric with the tunnel axes and generally about 8-15 meters long. The diameter was 2 meters greater than that of the tunnel to give a 2 meter thick annular protection outside and ahead of the shield (Figure 22). Some hand dug connections between shafts were pretreated over their whole length.

Fig. 22 - Typical Arrangement of Grout Zones and Injection Pipes, Contract 3, Cairo Wastewater Project (Greenwood et al., 1987).

Treatment was required only in the lower two units, the interbedded strata encountered interminently at tunnel crown level, and the sands and gravels occurring through the core and below invert. The depth of treatment ranged from 10m-25m below surface depending on the size of the tunnel and location. The alkaline ground water was typically 2m-4m below the surface.

Clearly the ground treatment had to be extremely thorough to provide the desired effect in these saturated and variable but usually cohesionless sands. The success of the grouting philosophy of thorough permeation, in conditions indicated by the site investigation to be "borderline" demanded exceptionally stringent measures by the specialist contractor, a Joint Venture of Cementation Piling and Foundations, and STENT Foundations. This major achievement, as detailed by Greenwood et al., (1987), highlighted the following key factors which were evident throughout the year's operation in which approximately 5 million litres of chemical grout were injected:

- Ensuring Permeation: grout holes and injection points were installed at relatively close centres. No first phase cement based injections were conducted, (except as contact grouting around certain preformed shafts), as there could most likely cause hydrofracture planes, as opposed to notionally filling larger pore spaces.
Chemical injections were conducted over several successive phases (usually three), to target volumes equivalent to 35% porosity. Injection rates ranged from 15-20 litres/min (Phase II) to 1-2 litres/min at pump pressures of up to 0.5-0.6 MPa. The choice of the chemical grout was restricted by economic and environmental constraints in addition to engineering requirements. Most of the work featured a sodium silicate based grout reacted with citric acid and aluminum chloride, and giving a viscosity of 3cp for half its gel time (45-50 minutes). It had been estimated that grout particle sizes as small as 10μ would compromise penetrability and so the silicate as supplied had been filtered through a pleated filter on the mixing tank, much larger flocculations were then formed, which were in turn dispersed by mixing with the Cuno tap water. Consequently, no significant increases in viscosity were recorded. A centrifuge was employed to centrifuge the chemical components prior to mixing and injecting. In addition, particular care was taken to ensure that no extraneous particles would be otherwise incorporated in the mix.

- Control Over Batching and Injecting - Components were volume batched in small units to better than 0.2% accuracy in a fully automated station. Data from flow meters and pressure meters on each injection pump were displayed visually in the grouting module, and transmitted to a remote display in the control office. Thus the progress of the injection of each sleeve could be monitored (and varied if necessary, e.g. if hydrofracture was occurring) while a data logger/computer analysis system provided the basis for back analysis and hard copy issue.

The effectiveness of the grouting was proved directly during excavation by visual observation, and recording compressed air requirements. In addition, permeability testing was conducted before, during and after the treatment of each block (indicating final permeabilities consistently as low as 10^{-7} m/s). These supplemented the results of a precontract test block in which SPT testing was also conducted.

3.2.4 Singapore MRT Tunnels - The geology of the Island of Singapore is complex with several types of soil, such as beach, estuarine and fluvial deposits, marine clay and sedimentary soft rocks, occurring. In general, beach sand and silty sand, soft peaty clay, marine clay and fluvial soils to combined depths in excess of 15 m. The base of this sequence is often marked by a layer of silty, fine sand, overlying clay rich cohesive soils or weak rocks. The fine nature of these materials precludes the use of permeation grouting, and jet grouting is the preferred method of ground treatment wherever required.

Lot 106 of the Island’s Mass Rapid Transit System runs between Dhoby Ghaut and City Hall stations. As the tunnels leave the former station (Figure 9) to pass through soft, highly plastic formations in which the water level is 1-2m below surface. Without any soil improvement, even slight excavation was judged difficult, unsafe and unsuitable in the conditions. Treatment from the surface was feasible and economic.

In line with the design specifications, the grouting had to be extended to the full excavation area above soft rock or very stiff clay, and had to create an arch of strengthened soil 15-30m thick around the excavation. The thicker treatment was provided close to the station where the shield could not operate. To check the proposed solution and to set up the working programme, a large scale trial was carried out on site.

Two different types of jet grouted columns were tested - 0.6 and 0.8m between centres of staggered elements. For each layout two different quantities of grout were injected (600 and 800 litres/m^3 of soil). The four combinations that resulted (including a total of 62 columns) were arranged to form the sides of a square area, excavated subsequently for visual inspection. The following general procedure was applied to each scheme: drilling to 10.5m deep, treatment from the bottom to 0.5m depth, injecting a grout with w/c=16, and a grouting pressure of 40MPa.

Instrumentation included inclinometers to check horizontal soil displacements, piezometers to record pore pressure variations and datum points to check vertical soil displacements.

The total volume of injected grout was 190 m^3, which corresponded to 70% of the theoretically engaged volume of soil (270m^3). It is estimated that about 70% of soil grout mixture was rejected during grouting and that the overall surface upheaval corresponded to about 60% of the upward displaced soil. Since no filling of natural voids can be expected in such fine grained soil, it was inferred that the remaining 60% (almost one-third) of the injected grout caused mostly radial displacement and compression effects.

Coring afterwards confirmed that even midway between column centers, the treated ground was over 50% above the specified minimum strength of 0.3 MPa. A test pit excavated inside the test area 15 days after treatment confirmed overlapping between adjacent columns, except for that group of tests with the higher spacing (0.8m) and lower ground volume 600 l/m³. For the production work, 0.7m spacing was selected.

High surface movements were recorded - maximum horizontal displacement of 2.5cm, and vertical of 30cm which necessitated variations to subsequent production parameters (always thereafter within safe limits 2-5cm).

Excess pore pressures in piezometers 6.5m deep and 3m and 6m from the perimeter of treatment were fairly low throughout (0.02-0.04 MPa).

The subsequent production works involved the successful treatment of about 9400 m^3 of soil in four tunnel sections totalising 367m of running length. The interested reader is referred to the more detailed description by Cipri and Tombachi (1985).

3.2.5 Milano Metro Tunnels - The existing Milano Metro network of 34km of tunnels with 63 stations is being supplemented by the current construction of a further 28km (32 stations) of line. The work is being conducted in the centre of the city so that the former emphasis on cut and cover cannot be resumed. Instead, grout treatment is being widely used (Mongiardi and Tombachi, 1986), both from the surface and from underground, and solutions involving both permeation and jet grouting are being employed routinely. For example, for advancement by underground grouting, the following sequence is adopted:

(i) excavate a drift (a pilot tunnel) of cross section 9 m^2 under cover of horizontal jet grouted columns (Figures 2.3 and 2.4).

(ii) treat the zone around this pilot by tube a manchetter permeation grouting.
Fig. 23 - Principle of Tunnel Excavation by Forming Pilot (Protected by Horizontal Jet Grouting), to Allow Treatment (by Permeation Grouting) for Full Bore Excavation, Milano Metro, Line 3.

Fig. 24 - View of Pilot and Full Bore, Showing End of Jet Grout Columns, and Radial Tubes a Manchet, Milano Metro Line 3.

(iv) excavate to full diameter, with the soil arch consolidated in step (iii) acting as protection.

Another novel factor, in relation to the previous Milano Metro work, was that strict restrictions were placed on the use of organic type reagents needed for higher strength silicate grouts necessary to provide ground treatment of adequate strength and durability. This led to the development of the Silacsol grout, as detailed above.

Equally, the scale of the project, the complexity of the design situations, the wide variability of the soil (gravel to silt) and the strict design specifications governing the use of chemical grouts, all combined to demand a new approach to site investigation and evaluation. Thus the PAPERO system of ground investigation and evaluation was developed.

As detailed by Mongiard and Tornaghi (1966) the project involves a wide range of styles and combinations for ground treatment incorporating jet grouting (horizontal for adits, vertical from the surface for shafts, underpinning and earth retention) and tube-a

manchet grouting. For the latter, cement based grouts are used throughout for routine consolidation, whereas chemicals are also injected for:
- underpinning of certain very sensitive buildings,
- impermeabilization where tunnel sections are below the water table,
- enhancing strength around the larger excavations.

The purpose of the grouting is one factor which dictates the geometry and sequencing of its execution. Other factors include:
- nature and possibility of surface access and presence and density of subsurface services,
- geometry and location of structure being excavated,
- programming and financial considerations.

Figures 25-29 illustrate various combinations which are being employed in response to these factors. It is significant to note that in Lot 2B a shield was initially used for the first 600m of pilot tunnel. However, it caused unacceptable settlements due to overbreak, at which time the construction reverted to protection by horizontal Rodinjet columns. The 9 columns in each pass averaged 9m long and 70cm in diameter, permitting excavation in 6m "runs." This system was used on the remaining 1300m of pilot on this section, and thereafter in the other lots.

Two other points are especially notable:
(a) chemical grouting: since the two components of the Silacsol system react so fast, they are introduced into the ground separately through twin tubes a manchet, i.e., 2 small tubes are placed in each borehole for chemical grouting and the chemical reaction occurs in the saturated pores of the soil.
(b) strength testing of the jet grouted gravels confirms the mechanical properties of a fairly good concrete. Treated sands give a similar mean strength but with a significantly lower deformation modulus.

The overall value of the ground treatment works under contract to RODIO in this phase of the Project is well over $100m spread over 3 years.

4. FINAL REMARKS

It is a time of rapid and significant advances in the field of ground treatment as applied to tunnelling schemes throughout the world. These advances are usually prompted by purely technical challenges, but are often dictated by stark economic reality. The direction of these advances is itself highly significant: more appropriate methods, improved materials, and far closer controls on the execution of the work. The trend is thus towards increased precision in the effectiveness of ground treatment as a routine construction process. In moving towards this goal, however, it is wise to recall the major potential influence of the natural variability of the ground—and the intensity and accuracy of its investigation—on a case by case basis.

With this caveat always in mind, the engineer may employ the methods described in this paper with a greater sense of confidence than ever before in their potentialities.
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**Fig. 25** - Radial Permeation Grouting From Pilot and Jet Grouting From Surface to Protect Ancient Building, Milano Metro.

**Fig. 26** - Permeation Grouting From Surface (to g.w.l.) Plus Steel Micropiles for Underpinning, Followed by Grouting from Pilot Under g.w.l, Milano Metro.

**Fig. 27** - Permeation Grouting From Upper Pilot to Permit Excavation of Two Parallel Tunnels at Different Levels, Milano Metro.

**Fig. 28** - Treatment From Surface, to Protect Two Lateral Adits, Followed by Treatment From Central Pilot to Allow Excavation of Main Central Tunnel, Milano Metro.

**Fig. 29** - Treatment From Surface Followed by Treatment From Central Pilot and Two Lateral Drifts to Allow Excavation of Large Station, Milano Metro.
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